



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/487,318 01/19/00 REID

L 113918.101

021269
PEPPER HAMILTON
600 FOURTEENTH STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20005

HM22/0417

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, Q	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1632
DATE MAILED:

04/17/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/487,318

Applicant(s)
Reid et al.

Examiner
Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit
1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gilberto Villacorta

(3) Dave Nguyen

(2) Thors Nielsen

(4) Quang Nguyen

Date of Interview Mar 30, 2001

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims directed to method of isolating & composition char

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representatives proposed to add additional steps into existing claims to further distinguish Applicant's invention over the cited prior arts.

Considerations of the composition claims will also be influenced by the proposed amendments to the method claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Quang Nguyen

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.